

Salvatore & Valsiner (2010)

Why inductive is not the best approach
 - unfeasibility

SAY THIS

newly discovered
 a new phenomenon

per Windelband
 nouns & idios are complementary
 to generalize a new (unique) event
 phenomena are inherently unique

"unique view of psych phenom"

way of being

becoming

1 Sci always ideographic
 2 aimed at general (nom) knowledge

unstable & unfeasible

occasional event
 generalization

Sci is ideographic
 facing a unique event

1 Sci always ideographic
 2 aimed at general (nom) knowledge

inductive generalization
 NOT equivalent to
 general knowledge

Inductive generalization
 Psychology phenomena
 aggregation
 deductive generalization
 is a better fit for
 psych phenomena

Windelband: psych = a special case of Natl Sci, thus general knowledge
 Knowledge construction: general nouns
 from the particular idios

Mistake → Division
 Naturwissenschaften = nouns
 Geisteswissenschaften = idios
 Humanities idios
 Sciences nouns

KNOWLEDGE FROM SINGLE SPECIMEN

any experience = phenomenon
 "Unique to be made general"

new idios to be generalized
 unique event = knowledge

rejects the "rule" of
 defines the induction
 the axiom

Individualistic Ontology

SPLIT
 Social-typical methodology

Psych = Self-organizing open systems
 each unique
 due to general laws
 "generality in uniqueness" is not a contradiction in terms

Aggregation & Generalization

Criterion Selection

Object/Aim of Inquiry,
 (the transcendental human)
 embodied human

Socio-typical methodology
 population engagement

due to general laws
 "generality in uniqueness" is not a contradiction in terms

- an essence present in each & every person
- study it - present knowledge that the essence is present
- through a created procedure (a test)
- pretend to prove your presumed conceptual framework
- bypasses modeling of interpreting variability
- low order levels of individual

the general for the individual
 the individual & its context

- time/space/uncorrelated concepts w/ variable boundaries
- product of generalization
- cluster of idios/pro-partes
- qualifiers present in everyone in the collection
- quantities vary

Salvatore & Valsiner (2010)

Why inductive is not the best approach
 - stability
 - infeasibility

Say THIS

new distinct in sci

per Windelband
 names & idios are complementary
 → generalize a new (unique) event
 phenomena are inherently unique

unique users of psych phenomena

Sci. is idiographic
 Facing a unique even

1. Sci always idiographic → always facing a new unique event
2. aimed at general (nom) knowledge → out of ever-changing processes

inductive generalization
 accumulation of individual events
 either constructively or logically

Inductive generalization
 Psychology phenomena accumulation aggregation
 abductive generalization - is a better fit for psych phenomena

Windelband: psych = a special case of Nat Sci, thus general knowledge
 → Knowledge construction: general names from the particular idios

Mistake → Division
 Naturwissenschaften = nouns
 Geisteswissenschaften = idios
 Humanities idios
 Sciences nouns

KNOWLEDGE FROM SINGLE SPECIMEN

any experience = phenomenon
 "Unique to be made general"

names to be generalized
 unique event
 knowledge

SPRIT Being Individualistic Ontology

Psych = self-organizing open systems
 each unique
 due to general laws
 "generality in uniqueness" is not a contradiction in terms

Object/Aim of Inquiry, (the transcendental human)
 embodied humans

Socio-typical methodology
 empirical

time/space-unconstrained concepts
 product of generalization
 clusters of objects/procedures
 qualities present in everyone in the culture

Criteria Selection -
 an essence present in each & every person is present
 study it - present knowledge that the essence is through a crucial procedure (a test)
 pretend to prove your presumed conceptual framework
 by bypass modelling & interpreting variability
 by low order contrast

Object/Aim of Inquiry, (the transcendental human)
 embodied humans
 the goal of the research by the scientist is a question

time/space-unconstrained concepts
 product of generalization
 clusters of objects/procedures
 qualities present in everyone in the culture

the individual & its context